Systematic literature review on agrochemicals impacts dormant eggs in temporary wetlands: an ocean of unknowingness

Revisión sistemática sobre los impactos de los agroquímicos en los huevos de resistencia en humedales temporales: un océano de desconocimiento

Gema Parra
Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology and Ecology
University of Jaén
Jaén, Spain
gparra@ujaen.es

ORCID: 0000-0002-4519-4799

Abstract
Temporary wetlands are recognised biodiversity hotspots. Dormant egg banks, as part of their cryptic biodiversity, are responsible of wetlands resilience. Egg banks are also known to be sensitive indicators of anthropogenic disturbances. This study aims to assess the current state of research of agrochemical impact on dormant egg banks in temporary wetlands. The systematic literature review carried out has shown the small number of studies on this topic. This study provides evidence of commonality concerning negative impact effect on the organisms, reducing hatching success, dormant eggs production and emergence, or species richness, among others, which might weaken ecosystem stabilization mechanisms by reducing biodiversity. Our review also revealed a glaring lack of in situ and long-term studies for understanding ecosystem consequences of toxicants on temporary wetlands. These gaps in knowledge hamper our ability to design and implement evidence-based conservation and management programs but opens opportunities for new research lines.
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Resumen
Los humedales temporales atesoran una alta biodiversidad. Los bancos de huevos de resistencia, como parte de su biodiversidad criptica, son responsables de la resiliencia. Estos bancos son indicadores sensibles de perturbaciones antropogénicas. Este estudio pretende evaluar el grado de conocimiento sobre el impacto de los agroquímicos en los huevos de resistencia en humedales temporales. La revisión sistemática de la literatura realizada ha puesto de manifiesto el escaso número de estudios sobre este tema. La mayoría indican un impacto negativo: menor éxito de eclosión, menor producción y emergencia, o menor riqueza de especies, lo que debilita el ecosistema al reducir la biodiversidad. Además, hay una evidente falta de estudios in situ y a largo plazo para comprender las consecuencias de estos tóxicos en los humedales temporales. Esto dificulta nuestra capacidad para diseñar e implementar programas de conservación y manejo basados en la evidencia científica, pero abren oportunidades para nuevas líneas de investigación.
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Revisão sistemática da literatura sobre impactos de agroquímicos em ovos dormientes em áreas úmidas temporárias: um oceano de incognitas

RESUMO
As zonas úmidas temporárias são sistemas que armazenam alta biodiversidade. Bancos de ovos resistentes, como parte de sua biodiversidade criptica, responsáveis pela resiliência, também são indicadores sensíveis de perturbações antrópicas. Este estudo tem como objetivo avaliar o grau de conhecimento sobre o impacto de agroquímicos em ovos resistentes em áreas úmidas temporárias. A revisão sistemática da literatura realizada revelou o pequeno número de estudos sobre o assunto. A maioria indica um impacto negativo: menor sucesso de eclosão, menor produção e emergência, ou menor riqueza de espécies, o que enfraquece o ecossistema ao reduzir a biodiversidade. Este estudo também mostra que há uma evidente falta de estudos in situ e de longo prazo para entender as consequências desses tóxicos em áreas úmidas temporárias. Isso dificulta nossa capacidade de projetar e implementar programas de conservação e manejo baseados em evidências científicas, mas abre oportunidades para novas linhas de pesquisa.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Banco de ovos, Zonas húmidas temporárias, Revisão sistemática, Recuperação, Resiliência.

Revisione sistematica della letteratura sugli impatti dei prodotti fitosanitari sulle uova dormienti nelle zone umide temporanee: un oceano di incognite

SOMMARIO
Le zone umide temporanee sono sistemi che immagazzinano un'elevata biodiversità. I banchi di uova di resistenza, come parte della loro criptica biodiversità, responsabile della resilienza, sono anche indicatori sensibili di disturbo antropico. Questo studio mira a valutare il grado di conoscenza dell'impatto dei prodotti chimici per l'agricoltura sulle uova di resistenza nelle zone umide temporanee. La revisione sistematica della letteratura effettuata ha messo in luce l'esiguo numero di studi sull'argomento. La maggior parte indica un impatto negativo: minore successo della schiusa, minore produzione ed emergenza o minore ricchezza di specie, che indebolisce l'ecosistema riducendo la biodiversità. Questo studio mostra anche che c'è una cospicua mancanza di studi in situ e a lungo termine per comprendere le conseguenze di questi tossici nelle zone umide temporanee. Ciò ostacola la nostra capacità di progettare e attuare programmi di conservazione e gestione basati su prove scientifiche, ma apre opportunità per nuove linee di ricerca.

PAROLE CHIAVE: Banca delle uova, Zone umide temporanee, Revisione sistematica, Recupero, Resilienza.

Une revue systématique de la littérature sur les impacts des produits agrochimiques sur les œufs dormants dans les zones humides temporaires: un océan d’ignorance

RÉSUMÉ
Les zones humides temporaires ont une grande biodiversité. Les bancs d’œufs de résistance, dans le cadre de leur biodiversité cryptique, sont également des indicateurs sensibles de perturbation anthropique. Cette étude vise à évaluer le degré de connaissance de l’impact des produits agrochimiques sur les œufs de résistance dans les zones humides temporaires. La revue systématique de la littérature réalisée a révélé le faible nombre d’études sur ce sujet, avec impact négatif : moindre succès d’éclosion, moindre production et émergence, ou moindre richesse spécifique, ce qui fragilise l’écosystème en réduisant la biodiversité. Par ailleurs, il existe un manque d’études in situ et à long terme pour comprendre les conséquences de ces toxiques dans les zones humides temporaires. Cela entrave notre capacité à concevoir et à mettre en œuvre des programmes de conservation et de gestion basés sur des preuves scientifiques, mais ouvre des opportunités pour de nouvelles voies de recherche.

MOTS-CLÉS: Banque d’œufs, Zones humides temporaires, Revue systématique, Récupération, Résilience.
Introduction

Temporary wetlands, with distinctive wet-dry cycles, typify the aquatic environment in dryland areas of the world and elsewhere\(^1\). They host an array of unique plant and animal species that are highly adapted to the biophysical settings and disturbance regime of temporary ponds and not found in permanent aquatic habitats\(^2\). More generally, temporary wetlands are recognised as biodiversity hotspots\(^3\), and its cryptic component, comprised of microscopic plants and animals invisible to the naked eye that collectively form seed and resting egg banks, are especially valuable from ecological, evolutionary and applied perspectives\(^4\). Zooplankton dormant egg banks, for instance, preserve an important component of genetic diversity\(^5\), and contribute through the storage effect and bet-hedging strategies to safeguard ecological processes in these highly unpredictable environments\(^6\).

Despite their uniqueness, temporary wetlands are among the most threatened ecosystem types by land use and climate change, aggravated by the general low public awareness of these ecosystems\(^7\) or lack of consideration in policy, such as the European Water Framework Directive\(^8\). Dormant egg banks have been suggested to be vulnerable to the disruptions arising from natural and anthropogenic perturbations and therefore useful indicators of ecological stress and impact assessment\(^9\). Assessing the emergence process when animals colonize the water column upon rewetting of the sediment may detect changes in species dominance and community composition arising from environmental impact\(^10\). Such disruptions may occur either directly through toxicity-induced lethality or indirectly through deterioration of water quality\(^11\), incurring fitness costs in animals\(^12\).

Emissions of toxic substances have reached levels that exceed planetary boundaries, contributing to an erosion of the resilience in major components of Earth-system functioning\(^13\). There is special concern regarding agrochemicals because these toxicants are released into aquatic systems at unprecedented rates worldwide\(^14\). Specifically, intensive agriculture poses a major threat to wetlands due to sediment accumulation resulting from ploughing watersheds and point and non-point sources of agrochemical pollution disrupting their biophysical environment\(^15\). It is well known that toxic substances cause changes in active plankton communities, create imbalances in food webs and decrease water quality\(^16\). Moreover, climate change forecasts high evaporation in drylands, which might lead to higher concentrations of toxicants in wetlands\(^17\). Ultimately, this has consequences in the resilience and the recovery capacity from agricultural impact in temporary wetlands\(^18\).

Resilience theory has gained a strong foothold in the recent environmental sustainability literature as it accounts not only for the ability of ecosystems, and other complex systems of people and nature, to adapt to, but also to transform in response to anthropogenic impact\(^19\). Resilience theory embraces both the ability of a system to withstand (“robustness”) and recover from stress. In temporary wetlands, recovery is mediated by a combination of internal (buffering capacity of egg banks) and external (dispersal) factors\(^20\). Resilience theory also recognizes the ability of ecosystems to undergo fundamental change when disturbance thresholds are exceeded and shifts between alternative system regimes occur\(^21\). Such changes are especially pervasive in agricultural landscapes, where shallow lakes shifting from clear-water, macrophyte dominated regimes to turbid regimes dominated by phytoplankton due to nutrient enrichment attest to agriculture’s profound alteration of the natural environment\(^22\). Although, recovery and robustness are prevailing views of resilience in temporary wetland science and management, there is preliminary evidence that also temporary ponds can...
undergo regime shifts\textsuperscript{23}, often as a result of excessive nutrient enrichment that are phenomenological similar to those observed in shallow lakes\textsuperscript{24}. Accounting for different aspects of resilience, such as recovery capacity, or alternative regimes achievement, is therefore crucial for effective management and conservation of natural resources\textsuperscript{25}.

To assess the current state of knowledge about the impacts of toxicants on temporary wetland egg banks and their resilience, this study provides a systematic literature review. Systematic literature reviews have been introduced to the ecological literature for their potential to reduce bias and reveal a more accurate picture of the state of knowledge\textsuperscript{26}. Given the relevance of temporary wetland egg banks for basic and applied ecological research, this study aims to assess the current state of research of toxicants impact on dormant egg banks. Specifically, the review and synthesize approaches used for inferring impact and discuss the implications for resilience that can be derived from them. Ultimately, the review shall identify critical knowledge gaps that may hamper the effective management and conservation of temporary ponds on a fast-changing planet.

**Material and methods**

A systematic literature review was carried out with the goal of reducing bias by identifying, appraising, and synthesizing all relevant studies on toxicants impact on egg banks of temporary wetlands published in the peer-reviewed literature. A systematic review is a research synthesis on a precisely defined topic using explicit and strict protocols to identify, select, appraise, and analyse references\textsuperscript{27}. Pullin and Steward\textsuperscript{28} devised a set of guidelines for undertaking a formalized systematic literature review, including search strategy, protocol formation, data inclusion, data extraction, and analysis. In the present study the protocol consisted of the following steps: a) defining the research question: how does the effect of toxicants influence dormant eggs and therefore the capacity of temporary wetlands to recover from their effects? b) defining criteria for the inclusion and exclusion of studies in the review (language, type of ecosystem, type of organism, type of document, knowledge discipline); c) implement the search strategy; d) data extraction (type of study, studied organism/community, substance, selected endpoint, future consequences) and e) analysis of the information.

The literature search for the systematic review was carried out in Scopus. This bibliographic database has several advantages, such as ease of navigation, includes 100% of what is indexed other databases, facilitates access to cited documents and is accessible through Internet, among others\textsuperscript{29}. Considering our research question, the following search string was used: topic = \textquoteleft ecotoxicology\textquoteright AND topic = (\textquoteleft dormant egg\textquoteright OR \textquoteleft diapausing egg\textquoteright OR \textquoteleft resting egg\textquoteright). In a second search process the topic = (\textquoteleft fertilizer\textquoteright OR \textquoteleft pesticide\textquoteright OR \textquoteleft herbicide\textquoteright OR \textquoteleft fungicide\textquoteright) was added. Finally, the last topic included in the process was \textquoteleft wetland\textquoteright.

Next, the \textquoteleft refine\textquoteright function in Scopus was used to exclude articles other languages (Chinese), as well as time period selected (published before 2001). The screening of the titles and abstracts was used to determine whether studies should be excluded. Reviews and book chapters and books were excluded, as the study focus on primary information sources, to extract the specific information used in the analysis. Papers concerning other ecosystems (i.e.: marine) or other organisms (i.e.: vegetation, fish) were not included in the analysis.

The information analysis on the selected papers was conducted on the full-text articles, extracting the following data from the papers: type of study (lab indoor study, mesocosm study, outdoor field study), studied organisms (single species studies, multi-species studies), toxic substance (type of toxic, single toxicant or multiple toxicants studies), exposure period (in days), chemical (heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, etc.), endpoint of biological hierarchical level (individual, population, community) and hypothesized ecosystem consequences (resistance, resilience, recovery).

The direction of the effect in the studies (negative or positive) was analysed using a non-parametric test. The sign test was used to demonstrate that the number of studies with a determined result (for example,
negative impact of agrochemical on egg emergence) is significantly greater than the opposite result; that is, it is greater than that expected by chance. It is based on binomial distribution and the \( p \) value for the test indicates the probability of error in rejecting the null hypothesis of equal effects.

**Results**

The first search step initially identified 622 studies; the list was reduced to 270 with the second search step, and finally 60 studies were identified with all the searching terms selected. To include papers that matched the search criteria after refining the search the “refine” function in Scopus was used (language 1; publishing date 1; books 6; book chapter 3, reviews 8). Additionally, the title and the abstract screening allow removing 24 articles that were unrelated to the study goal. Finally, only 14 papers from the initial set matched the criteria (Figure 1).

Table 1 summarises the results of the selected studies about the effects of toxicants on different biological endpoints. The available evidence has highlighted the important constraints on dormant eggs and reproduction responses as a result of pollution, which, in turn, affects populations and/or communities. The sign test indicates that the number of studies that report negative impact (#13) is greater than the number of studies with no effect (#1) \( (p = 0.002) \).

The results specifically show that a large number of studies \( (n = 12) \) have been carried out in laboratory settings, testing for a single toxic compound \( (n = 8) \) or multiple toxicants \( (n = 6) \), either individually or in mixtures. Toxicity was tested for heavy metals \( (n = 3) \) and organic chemical compounds \( (n = 9) \), most of them used as insecticides, herbicides or fungicides. Biological endpoints chosen were either single populations of rotifers and daphnids \( (n = 6) \) or multiple taxa \( (n = 6) \) ranging from 2 to 34 spp.). These studies focused largely on population level aspects related to survival, hatching, growth rates, and resting egg production \( (n = 7) \), while community-based assessments \( (n = 4) \) focusing on richness, abundance, evenness and diversity were low. The exposure period ranged from 2 days to one year (Figure 2). With only two outdoor studies, one designed using mesocosm and the other using different sampled wetlands (some of them were semipermanent), research in the field was comparatively underrepresented (15%; Figure 2). These studies tested for the effects of either chlorpyrifos or rotenone on the food web, including phytoplankton, periphyton, zooplankton and an amphibian species or the zooplankton and macroinvertebrate community, respectively. Biological responses to the stressors were risk of local extinction, selection of resistant phenotypes, and changes to more tolerant communities. Inference about aspects of resilience was rather implicit and focusing on the recovery from contamination (see e.g.\(^{32}\)). None of the reviewed studies discussed their results from the viewpoint of ecological resilience.

---

\(^{30}\) Cooper; Lindsay, 1998.  
\(^{31}\) Sutton et al., 1998.  
\(^{32}\) Gutiérrez; Battauz; Caisso, 2017.
Table 1. Studies found following the research string and selected information extracted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type of study, according to...</th>
<th>Exposure period (d)</th>
<th>Toxicant</th>
<th>Endpoints Hierarchical level</th>
<th>Hypothesized consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td># Toxic</td>
<td># Species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portinho; Oliveira; Branco, 2021</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Single toxicant</td>
<td>Multiple species (several rotifer and microcrustacean species)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oskina et al., 2019</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Multiple toxicant (no mixture)</td>
<td>Single species (Moina macrocopa)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Heavy metals (copper, cadmium, zinc and nickel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aránguiz-Acuña et al., 2018</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Single toxicant</td>
<td>Multiple species (Brachionus plicatilis and B. quadridentatus)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navis et al., 2018</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Single toxicant</td>
<td>Single species (Daphnia magna)</td>
<td>14 (short) 56 (long)</td>
<td>Fenoxycarb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portinho et al., 2018</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Multiple toxicant (mixture)</td>
<td>Multiple species (34 taxa in zooplankton community)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Herbicides based on 2,4-D and glyphosate mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aránguiz-Acuña; Pérez-Portilla, 2017</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Multiple toxicant (no mixture)</td>
<td>Single species (Brachionus plicatilis)</td>
<td>2 (short) 21 (long)</td>
<td>Copper, zinc, aluminium and arsenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutierrez; Battauz; Caisso, 2017</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Single toxicant</td>
<td>Multiple species (30 taxa in zooplankton community)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendis; Relyea, 2016</td>
<td>Outdoor Mesocosm experiment</td>
<td>Single toxicant</td>
<td>Multiple species (Daphnia pulex, Lithobates pipiens, phytoplanктон and peryphyton)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Möst et al., 2015</td>
<td>Indoor Lab experiment</td>
<td>Multiple toxicant (mixture)</td>
<td>Multiple species (Daphnia longispina complex)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Igrarol, triclocarban, benzotriazole, 5-methylbenzotriazole, octocrylene, propiconazole, terbutryn, prochloraz, triclosan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Eggs banked in dry soils have attracted much research interest because they are known to be sensitive indicators of anthropogenic disturbances and thus useful for environmental impact assessment\(^\text{33}\). The findings of this study were discouraging because only a very small number of studies met the criteria for our search chain and goal. Additionally, none of the reviewed studies were actually conducted in temporary wetlands nor did they use organisms collected from temporary wetlands. Moreover, they did not assess effects directly on dormant stages. In addition to the scarcity of information, it should be noted that approaches used to assess contamination impacts varied widely across studies, suggesting the lack of a harmonized and commonly applied protocol for assessing agrochemical impacts on egg banks. Despite the variability in approaches, there was, however, agreement across the majority of studies that toxicants affect organisms negatively, reducing hatching success, dormant eggs production, or species richness, among others. Because these results are overwhelmingly based on lab studies, the implications of these findings for more ecologically realistic ecosystem conditions are at this stage speculative. However, two broad ecological response types have been discussed in the selected papers that can help us to detect knowledge gaps and stimulate the necessary research for addressing management and sustainability challenges of temporary ponds.

The first relates to compensational processes that may buffer against the impact of toxicants. For instance, despite negative impact at the population level likely positive effects at the community level have been envisioned; for instance, through the reduction in the intensity of competition between populations, which promotes their coexistence over time\(^\text{34}\). Similarly,

---

\(^{33}\) Angeler; García, 2005. Van den Broeck et al., 2015.

\(^{34}\) Aránguiz-Acuña et al., 2018.
Bendis and Relyea\textsuperscript{35} reported that despite negative effect on some cladocerans and amphibians’ population growth rates due to chlorpyrifos exposure, cascading trophic interactions lead to stabilize food webs and might contribute to robustness of these systems against disturbance from agrochemicals.

The second relates to negative ecological consequences of toxicants in wetlands. For instance, three studies\textsuperscript{36} suggested that the negative effect detected at the community level would lead to changes in community composition with an increased risk in local extinction rates. The negative effects detected in egg bank hatching success also erodes its buffering capacity against stress from agrochemicals and increase the risk of local extinction and the loss of genetic variability\textsuperscript{37}. Such effects are often mediated by the synchrony between environmental factors and lifecycles together with other biological characteristics, which influence the degree of impact and recovery\textsuperscript{38}. For instance, it\textsuperscript{39} was detected sharp reductions in abundance after rotenone exposures of zooplankton communities, but nearly all affected taxa recovered by the following spring, also confirmed by Portinho et al\textsuperscript{40}. Such recovery could be mediated by “temporal escape strategy”, whereby changes in hatching dynamics facilitate recovery\textsuperscript{41}. It is clear that the timing of impact-response relationships needs to be accounted for revealing direct and indirect ecological effects that ensue from toxicity, trans-generational effects and altered environmental conditions\textsuperscript{42}. The increase in knowledge on recovery

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Exposure time (days) used in the selected studies. Four of them appear twice as they carried out two exposure periods (the short and the long, in bracket). Outdoors studies are represented with squares and indoors studies are represented with circles.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{35} Bendis; Relyea, 2016.
\textsuperscript{36} Möst et al., 2015. Portinho et al., 2018. Portinho; Oliveira; Branco, 2021.
\textsuperscript{37} Aránguiz-Acuña; Pérez-Portilla, 2017. Navis et al., 2013; 2015.
capacity mediated by resting egg banks will allow carrying out restoration activities based on evidence⁴³.

The aforementioned factors may operate on resilience of temporary wetlands to disturbances from toxicants. Although, resilience theory has gained center-stage in the environmental sustainability discourse, it has not melded well with the science and management of temporary wetlands. In this sense, the discussions on the reviewed papers focus largely on the ability of egg banks to either withstand or recover from stress, but this focus on robustness and recovery ignores the fact that ecosystems can exist in alternative stable regimes. This is an inherent feature in ecological resilience⁴⁴; i.e., the amount of disturbance an ecosystem can absorb without changing structure, functions and feedbacks⁴⁵. There is a rich body of literature documenting that shallow lake ecosystems change from a clear-water regime dominated by submerged macrophytes to a turbid regime characterized by phytoplankton blooms due to nutrient loading⁴⁶. And there is evidence that excessive nutrient enrichment can also change temporary wetlands between alternative regimes similar to the shifts documented for lakes⁴⁷. This preliminary evidence combined with the results of this review make clear that regime changes, in addition to recovery and robustness, need to be accounted to understand the broader consequences of pollutants on temporary wetlands resilience and devise sound management plans⁴⁸.

Effective watershed management strategies are critical for protecting aquatic ecosystems affected by agricultural practices. When dry temporary ponds rewet, resting eggs receive the necessary environmental cues for initiating a new life cycle⁴⁹. Rewetting therefore essentially comprises the opening of a “window of opportunity” for both, organisms to reproduce and scientists and managers to assess the ecological integrity of wetlands through the emergence process of organisms from sediments⁵⁰. However, pollution events may alter the biophysical hatching environment for organisms upon rewetting, leading to a “false window of opportunity” (Figure 3). Provided that organisms maintain their ability to hatch, they may essentially emerge into an ecological trap, mired into polluted habitats less suitable for them⁵¹. The consequences of emerging into a

Figure 3. Schematic framework for the depauperated egg bank and window of opportunities under toxicants exposure

![Figure 3: Schematic framework for the depauperated egg bank and window of opportunities under toxicants exposure](https://example.com/figure3)

Changes due to the disturbance regime (stresses imposed)

Supra-individual level attributes influencing the recovery of communities and function. Compensatory processes.

Source: own elaboration.

---

⁴³ Portinho; Oliveira; Branco, 2021.
⁴⁴ Baho et al., 2017.
⁴⁶ Scheffer et al., 1993.
⁴⁷ Angeler, 2021, 11.
⁴⁸ Allen et al., 2019.
⁵⁰ Angeler; García, 2005.
⁵¹ Sievers et al., 2018.
Management strategies can take advantages from such windows of opportunities by timing application of agrochemicals considering the periods when wetlands rewet\textsuperscript{53}. That is, agrochemicals released into the ecosystem through nonpoint source pollution and the beginning of the wet period could be temporarily disconnected. Regulating safe periods when agrochemicals apply is restricted may be useful for creating windows of opportunities. For instance, uncoupling agrochemicals apply periods from periods when wetland communities establish from dormant egg bank may be useful for closing false windows of opportunities. So is likely, regulation to temporally restrict use of agrochemicals should account for half-lives (DT50 in water or water-sediment phase) of toxicants. For example, fenoxycarb and carbaryl have DT50 shorter than 1 month. Applying these products in advance of the wet season may contribute to minimizing impact. However, in the case of agrochemicals with longer DT50 (i.e., terbutryn or glyphosate) false windows of opportunity may be open during the wet season and beyond.

Given the disruptive nature of toxicants and their metabolites on ecological interactions (predation, competition) as well as the particular sensitivity of the emerged community to natural environmental changes (droughts, oxygen depletion, changes in temperature or hydroperiod\textsuperscript{54}), it is recommendable to follow the precautionary principle and ban persistent agrochemicals from temporary wetland watersheds. Lahr et al.\textsuperscript{55} described a management approach advocating that agrochemical treatments should not be carried out in the vicinity of temporary ponds. He also recommended proper applying methods to avoid pollutants drift over large distances in the Sahel region (Southern edge Sahara Desert, Africa) during the desert locusts chemical control programs. Protecting temporary wetlands in dry areas, as many environmental challenges, is a complex task and there is no simple solution. Apart from scientific evidence, a collaborative approach and consensus between the different stakeholders involved is essential.

Although systematic literature reviews allow to assess current trends of research\textsuperscript{56}, they also have limits due to the subjective definition of search strings and interpretation\textsuperscript{57}, which is often, influence by researcher’s fields of expertise\textsuperscript{58}. Thus, systematic literature reviews, like ours, have recognized limitations in terms of an absolute representation of the current-state-of-the-art of research. For instance, relevant references may be missed because they did not match the search string. The limitation is also associated to statistical approaches used, like the sign test, which neither considers statistical power nor effect size, but which is still commonly used in heterogeneous studies where aims are to compare the direction of the effects\textsuperscript{59}. The strength of such reviews therefore resides in manifesting knowledge gaps that can pave the way for future research. The present review supported the idea that toxicants are detrimental to resting eggs production and emergence, but revealed a glaring lack of in situ and long-term studies for understanding ecosystem dynamics, including resilience. The extracted information makes us aware of the lack of “weight of evidence” needed to inform policy makers and manager on the toxicant long-term effect in temporary wetlands. Future research is badly needed to salvage a valuable ecosystem type that increasingly vanishes from our landscapes.
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